Anisotropies in the Gravitational Wave Background from Cosmological Phase Transitions Raman Sundrum (borrowing from Yuhsin Tsai) University of Maryland PRL 121, 201303 (2018), arXiv:1803.10780 Michael Geller, Anson Hook, Raman Sundrum, Yuhsin Tsai







Gravitational Waves (GW)

Astrophysical sources

black hole, neutron start, white dwarf mergers



can be resolvable





GW Cosmology

Cosmological sources

Phase transition (PT), inflation, pre-heating, cosmic string,...



GW from first order Phase Transitions

Most discussions focus on GW energy/frequency spectrum from PT.

PT ~ TeV-100TeV => GW frequencies ~ proposed detectors!



GW from first order PT

However, the **anisotropic pattern** of GW provides valuable info on inflation/reheating



GW anisotropies in other contexts

Astro sources: Cutler, Holz '09; Cusin et al '17 Inflationary preheating: Bethke et al '13, '14; Analytic frameworks: Cusin et al '17, Olmec et al '12, applied to Cosmic string networks: Jenkins et al '18 Non-Gaussianities in pulsar timing arrays: Tsuneto et al '19

Gravitational Wave Background (GWB)

Similar to the CMB spectrum, but with photon -> GW from PT

hot spot =>

Higher energy photons

-> Higher energy GW



Gravitational Wave Background (GWB)

Similar to the CMB spectrum, but with photon -> GW from PT





where do hot / cold spots come from?











With a single reheating process after inflation => GW fluctuations correlated with CMB



With only gravitational interactions => GW fluctuations nearly "pristine"

Dark Ages of Cosmology



GW can probe uncharted thermal history



Energy scale and physics of cosmological PT? - Frequency spectrum

Multiple sources of primordial density perturbations and reheating processes during/after inflation? - Anisotropies



If PT physics and CMB have different sources of primordial fluctuations and reheating history => GWB can be ``uncorrelated" with CMB

Can we see the GW anisotropy?

GW from first order PT

First order phase transition



$$\Gamma(T) = A(T) e^{-S(T)}$$

PT rate as a function of temperature

GW from first order PT

 The dynamics and collisions of the bubbles generate gravity waves



In the sky today: $> 10^{30}$ bubbles from TeV scale PT

(c) $t/R_* = 2.50$



Cutting, Hindmarsh, Weir (2018)

) Einstein eq. $\omega_{ m GW}^2 \, \delta g_{ m GW} \sim G_N \, \rho_{PT}$







 $H_{PT}^2 \sim G_N \, \rho_{total}$

$$\rho_{\rm GW} \sim \frac{\rho_{PT}^2}{\rho_{total}} \left(H_{PT} \Delta t_{PT} \right)^2$$

$$\left| \rho_{\rm GW} \sim \frac{\rho_{PT}^2}{\rho_{total}} \left(H_{PT} \Delta t_{PT} \right)^2 \right|$$

Typical Estimate: $H_{PT}\Delta t_{PT} \sim 10^{-2}$ RS1/Composite HiggsHawking-Page/conformal -> confinement PT: $H_{PT}\Delta t_{PT} \rightarrow 1$ Randall, Servant '07; Konstandin, Servant '11(or ordinary PT by tuning)See 1512.06239 for a review of PT models

$$h^{2}\Omega_{\rm env}(f) = 1.67 \times 10^{-5} \left(\frac{H_{*}}{\beta}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{\kappa\alpha}{2\mathbf{b}+\alpha}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{100}{g_{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\frac{0.11 \, v_{w}^{3}}{0.42 + v_{w}^{2}}\right) \, S_{\rm env}(f)$$

GW from PT

$$\left| \rho_{\rm GW} \sim \frac{\rho_{PT}^2}{\rho_{total}} \left(H_{PT} \Delta t_{PT} \right)^2 \right|$$

$$\rho_{\rm GW}^{today} \approx 0.1 \left(H_{PT} \Delta t_{PT} \right)^2 \rho_{\gamma} \approx 10^{-5} - 10^{-2} \rho_{\gamma}$$
< CMB N_eff bounds

$$\omega_{\rm GW}^{today} \sim \omega_{\rm GW} \left(\frac{T_{\rm CMB}^{today}}{T_{PT}} \right) \gtrsim \, {\rm mHz} - {\rm Hz}_{\rm CM}$$

GW detectors



Similar idea, more satellites, more futuristic BBO, DECIGO, ALIA plus atomic interferometry: MAGIS



Seeing the anisotropic pattern



Method: variation of strains in time for each polarization mode with different detector location / doppler shift



Angular measurement

Projected sensitivity for LISA, Kudoh & Taruya (2005)



Using cross correlation between different phase readout, **LISA** may get to $\ell_{\rm max} \sim 10$, more detectors (**BBO** / **DECIGO**) can do much better [e.g., Cutler & Holz (2009)]

Astrophysical foreground

Unresolvable white dwarf merger generates the dominant background to our signal

However, most of these backgrounds **follow galaxy distribution** and can be subtracted with enough data

Adams & Cornish (2013)



Farmer & Phinney (2003)

Anisotropic GW: minimal story



Anisotropic Signal

• Natural to have anisotropic GW signal (like CMB)

$$\rho_{\rm GW}(\theta,\phi) = \bar{\rho}_{\rm GW} + \delta \rho_{\rm GW}(\theta,\phi)$$

• Two-point correlators of signal fluctuations

GW-GW
$$C^{\rm GW}(\theta) \equiv \frac{\langle \rho_{\rm GW}(1) \rho_{\rm GW}(2) \rangle_{\theta}}{\bar{\rho}_{\rm GW}^2}$$

GW-CMB
$$C^{\text{cross}}(\theta) \equiv \frac{\langle \rho_{\text{GW}}(1)\rho_{\text{CMB}}(2) \rangle_{\theta}}{\bar{\rho}_{\text{GW}}\,\bar{\rho}_{\text{CMB}}}$$

In order to see the anisotropy

$$C^{\rm GW}(\hat{n}) = \sum_{\ell m} C_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n})$$





Minimal Story

- Single source of primordial perturbations (= quantum fluctuations in inflaton field)
- GW anisotropy is totally correlated with primordial photon perturbation
- Roughly scale-invariant primordial perturbation:



Detection possibility

$$\delta \rho_{\rm GW}^{today} \approx 10^{-10} - 10^{-7} \rho_{\gamma}$$



Detection possibility

$$\delta \rho_{\rm GW}^{today} \approx 10^{-10} - 10^{-7} \rho_{\gamma}$$



Detection possibility

$$\delta \rho_{\rm GW}^{today} \approx 10^{-10} - 10^{-7} \rho_{\gamma}$$



A Non-minimal Story



Non-minimal story

- There could be multiple sources of primordial fluctuations
- The GW and CMB maps are not necessarily correlated

In addition to the inflaton there is an Axion-Like Particle with quantum fluctuations during inflation

$$V = \Lambda^4 (1 - \sin \frac{a}{f_a})$$



$$\frac{\delta\rho_a}{\rho_a} \sim \frac{\delta V}{V} \sim \frac{H_{\rm inf}}{f_a}$$

can generate (possibly larger!) uncorrelated perturbations to the inflaton fluctuations

ALP with smaller energy density but larger perturbation decays into visible sector (VS) particles, while inflaton decays into a hidden sector (HS)



$$\left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}\right)_{\rm HS} \le 10^{-5}$$
$$\left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}\right)_{\rm VS} \ge 10^{-5}$$

remain uncorrelated if HS-VS are mostly decoupled

VS undergoes a strong first order PT, producing GW with VS perturbation



$$\left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}\right)_{\rm HS} \le 10^{-5}$$

$$\left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}\right)_{\rm GW} \sim \left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}\right)_{\rm VS} \ge 10^{-5}$$

HS decays into **VS**, dominates energy density and suppresses photon perturbation to the observed value



Correlated GWB & CMB

If density perturbation is dominated by the 1st term

$$\left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}\right)_{\rm CMB} \sim \left(\frac{\rho_{\rm VS}}{\rho_{\rm HS}}\right) \left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}\right)_{\rm GW} + \left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}\right)_{\rm HS} \sim 10^{-5}$$



the CMB and GW background are completely correlated

$$C^{cross} \equiv \frac{\langle \rho_{\rm GW}(1)\rho_{\rm CMB}(2)\rangle}{\bar{\rho}_{\rm GW}\bar{\rho}_{\rm CMB}} \neq 0$$

Un-correlated GWB & CMB

If density perturbation is dominated by the 2nd term

$$\left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}\right)_{\rm CMB} \sim \left(\frac{\rho_{\rm VS}}{\rho_{\rm HS}}\right) \left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}\right)_{\rm GW} + \left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}\right)_{\rm HS} \sim 10^{-5}$$

the CMB and GW background are completely uncorrelated

$$C^{cross} \equiv \frac{\langle \rho_{\rm GW}(1)\rho_{\rm CMB}(2)\rangle}{\bar{\rho}_{\rm GW}\bar{\rho}_{\rm CMB}} = 0$$

$$\delta \rho_{\rm GW} \sim 0.1 \left(\frac{\rho_{\rm VS}}{\rho_{\rm HS}}\right)^2 (H_{PT} \Delta t_{PT})^2 \left(\frac{\delta \rho}{\rho}\right)_{\rm GW} \begin{array}{l} \rho_{\gamma} < \text{CMB bound} \\ \text{on isocurvature} \end{array}$$



anisotropy is visible at BBO up to $\ell_{\rm max} \approx 100$

Conclusion and Outlook

